P 57

Previous references
Mnl 37-99.
442-04. 1-9.

1908.
(Mumpl)

File No. 592-05—
Serial Nos. 1—16

Later references
n-(442-07(1-9)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 1—16.

Part B for January 1908.

SUBJECT

Levy of water-rate in localities not provided with water in the Bangalore City.

From the Pres^{dt}, City Mnl Comn^{r}, Bangalore City. No. 4668 5-6-08 Solicits instructions as to whether steps may be taken to recover water-rate from the owners of 5 bungalows situated on the Fort Kalbagh road, who have declined to pay on the ground that that locality is not provided with water.

To the same officer. No. 2248 9-7-06. Calls for a report as to what the requirements of sections 197 and 198 of the Mumpl Regns have been fulfilled in respect of those bungalows, &c.

From the same officer. No. 188 19-7-07. States that there is no tap within the City Mumpl limits within easy reach of the 5 bungalows

No. 1 G. R. S. 58

To the same officer (Exec: Engr.) No. 2070-136-9-06. Requests them to furnish their views as regards the erection of stand pipes at prescribed intervals and the Pres^{dt} to report as to the distance of the nearest stand-

[illegible] No [illegible] 20-9-06
Submits a sketch showing the position of the proposed stand pipe to the said bungalows &c.
stand pipe to the said bungalows &c.
From the Ch: Engr. No 75518 12-9-07
Submits a copy of his reply letter to the Ex. Engr.
on the above subject
To the same officer No 47498 7-12-07
Calls for a sketch showing the precise position
proposed for the taps to be estimated for, &c.
From the Exec. Engr. No 4011 8-12-06. Observes that on enquiry
it has been ascertained that the houses in question a re now
water from the adjoining tap situated in the cr[illegible] Sr. limits
and that there can be no hardship in enforcing Govt. No
7746 2-3-05 regd
From the Exec. Engr. No 859 12-12-06
Submits for orders copies of his letter to the Ch. Engr.
and his reply thereto.
From the Ch. Engr. No 8697 21-12-06
Submits the report of the Ex. Engr. water supply
& Stores division.
From the same officer. No 1318 21-2-07
forwards copy of Water supply and Stores Dn. Ex. Engr's No 70
on the subject
To the Exec. Engr. No 0005 12-3-07 States that the work of er
a standpipe near the Longford road ooked has been es-
to cost Rs 9081- and requires whether the required sum
be found by a reappropriation of the sanctioned grants &c
From the same officer No 2618 20-4-07
States in reply to the above that the work in questi
purely an original work and the cost has therefore to
from the P. W. Budget
To the same officer. No 11365 1-6-07. States in reply
no necessity for putting up a fountain within the
Shikarpur Jail
From the Exec. Engr. No 578 31-10-07 States
[illegible] bungalows referred to have been
[illegible]

1905-06

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mb.)

NOTES.

File No 592-05

FILE HEADING

Levy of water rate in localities not
ided with water in the Bangalore City

The President, Munl: Commrs, Bangalore City

No 166 Dated 5. 6. '06 Received 9. 6. 1906

Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits instructions as to whether steps may be
resorted water-rate from the owners of 5 bungalows
on the York Lalbagh Road, who have declined to
the ground that that locality is not provided with-

NOTES AND ORDERS

actual service is not necessary
for the levy of Municipal taxes. Section
197 of the Municipal Regulation of 1871
requires a stand-pipe at an interval not
greater than one hundred yards to pro-

First sheet

MGGPH - 30,000-17-2-06.

13]
rd and Revenue
Secretariat.

MB File No 592 of 1905

Serial No

the levy of water-rate Bill, that section was amended by Regulation VII of 1899 under which "the stand-pipes shall be placed at such intervals as may be prescribed by the Govt for particular localities". The late Diwan has however recorded in a P.W.D file that he saw no objection to the stand-pipes being fixed at 300 ft apart under the provision of providing the City with additional fountains is also before the Govt. In such circumstances orders are solicited whether the President may be instructed to recover the amounts due by means of Civil suits.

Please see the P.W.D file and papers relating to the amendment of the Regn

13/6/06

3. The Legislative Branch file about Regulation VII of 1899 is submitted. The statement of objects and reasons does not touch the point under reference to the P.W.D. file referred to was put up with Diary No 9550 (Re additional fountains) and submitted to Secretary on the 4th instant. May the return of that file be awaited?

5th Yes

16/6/06

Dewan Cutting 9550 was with Secy to Govt

Contn sheet

G.P.S.—50,000—23 10-06

Land Revenue Secretariat.

NL File No 592 of 1905

Serial No

6 A Copy of the Chief Engineer's note with the Late Dewan's order fixing the distance at 300 feet is forwarded & the papers re-submitted for orders

MLS 7. It is not clear from the SPP President's letter whether the requirements of sections 197 & 198 of the Municipal Regulation as amended by Regulation No VII of 1899 have been fulfilled to enable the Municipality to levy the tax under section 199. This point might be made clear. Put up a draft.

FB
30/6/06

8. Draft docket submitted

MLS 2/7/06 & Issue FB 4/7/06

2 10 Docket No 224 dated the 9th July 06 to the President

Calls for a report as to whether the requirements of sections 197 & 198 of the Municipal Regulations have been fulfilled, and if so whether the bungalow referred to come within the limits of

Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No

any Diversion or portion thereof to enable the Municipality to levy the tax under section 199

3 41 Letter no 18 dated the 4th July 1905 from the President.

States, in reply, that there is no tank within the City Municipal limits within easy reach of the fire bungalows referred to, that recently a Notification was published in the Gazette as required by section 198, that the Advocate Commissioners of the Board were, however, of opinion that such a Notification was unnecessary and that, even if one was as regards the City proper, it was superfluous as the water supply was made by Govt and not by the Municipality

72 of Parts 2a Gazette of 1907 M

Submitted for orders

Mel Secy 31/7/05

Whatever may be the opinion expressed by the Advocate Commissioners as regards the notification published by the President under Sections 198 & 199 of the Municipal Regulations so far back as April 1901

Contin sheet.

S 12]
eneral and Revenue
Secretariat.

ML File No 592 of 1905

Serial No

fact remains that the provisions of the Act have been complied with & that therefore the Bungalows referred to are liable to pay the tax. But having regard to the fact that no stand pipes have been placed within easy reach of these Bungalows as required by section 197 of the Municipal Regulations, they may, as a special case, be exempted from payment of the taxes the supply is given.

Submitted for orders

[illegible]

3/8/06

13.

In such questions as this, I think it is very desirable that [illegible] should prescribe the intervals at which standpipes shall be placed in each [illegible] locality (Vide section 197). This may be done after consulting the Executive [illegible] in the matter [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

MGCP13—50,000— 24 7 05

Serial No

767
10-8-06

Questions, the Council [illegible] by the Hon'ble Member may be addressed to [illegible]

Ml
Sept 06

14 The correct attitude in matters of this kind is that presented in GO 7746 by 2 Members.

Sec 197 says that "Standpipes shall be placed at such intervals as may be prescribed by the Govt for particular localities" & his remarks that this shd be done at a very early date & with this view the Board & MD should be addressed as proposed above in the Secy's note - [In early reply]

Meanwhile the President may be requested to furnish a definite report as to the distance of the nearest standpipe from each of the houses in question & whether that s/p is within city limits or Station limits -

28 Aug 06

15 Draft prepared & laid before Board

16 Draft submitted

Ml 17 Aug

Board Mtg 30/8/06

30/8

MGCPB—60 000— 24 7 05

Serial No	
4	18 S.R.& N. 2070-1 & 6-9-05 to the Resident, Md Cowmt. Bangalore cfg & the Chief Engineer States that, as Section 197 of the Municipal Regulations says that "Stand pipes shall be placed at such intervals as may be prescribed by the Govt for particular localities; it is desirable that this should be done at a very early date and that with this view the Municipal Board and the Chief Engineer are requested to furnish their opinions in the matter with the least possible delay and requests the President to forward a definite report as to the distance of the nearest stand pipe from each of the houses in question and whether that stand pipe is within the cfg or C&M station limits.
5	By Letter No 77 & 10-9-05 from the President submits a Sketch shewing the position of the nearest stand pipe to the said bungalows and the distance between that stand pipe which is within the C&M Station limits and each of those five bungalows

MGCPB—50,000— 24-7 05

12]
ernl and Revenue Secretariat.

File No 592 of 1905

Serial No

20 The present reference is a reply to the latter part of the Govt Resn of the 6th September 1906 re Powers of the President and the Chief Engineer called for in the matter

urgent
orders
a-
26/9

(and first and second Mag Asst Secys should be awaited?

24/9 Submitted for orders of the Hon'ble Councillor

26/9/06

1143 and
24 & 19th
ms the Govt

21 Mr Chesterton see a copy of the Regulation he recently hand in impression of the one now in force throughout Bangalore District specified in it.

22 A Copy of the draft Municipal Regulation is submitted Please see section L 8 (l). No distance has been specified in it The Municipal Council may however frame bye-laws in the matter with the previous sanction of the Government

2/11/06

1356
5-11-06

23 The houses in question (I was told on local inquiry) are using water from the adjoining tap situated in C the Station limits - Under these circs I think there can be no hardship in enforcing GO No 746

Contn sheet

W.P.P.—55,000—23-10-06

S 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat

9

Mb File No 592 of 1905

Serial No

6 Memo No 7551 dated the 12th November 1906 from the Chief Engineer

Forwarded for information, with reference to G P No 2070-1 dated the 6th September 1906, Mb (592-03)-4 a copy of his letter to the Executive Engineer calling for an estimate for supplying water to the Sale bungalows on the Lalbagh road at Bangalore and stating that the work should not be put in hand until funds are placed at his disposal

26 The previous papers on the subject ending with Diary No 2811 were submitted to the 1st Councillor on the 2nd instant. May this be submitted in continuation

Mb Secy 27 Laid to the 1st Councillor
20/11/06

1556
28/11/06

AJ
23/11/06

28 The Revenue file has been perused & I think we may call for information on the points noted in the margin

Draft
Pl.

29/11/06

sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10 06

Serial No

29 Draft (with slight additions) is submitted Done
Marking
29/11
30

DB
3/12/06

7 30 Letter No 4749 dated the 7th December 1906 to the Chief Engr
Calls for a sketch showing the precise position proposed for the tanks to be excavated for and it suggests that if the positions have not already been settled the same may be done in consultation with the Municipal President.

31 Memo No 4750 dated the 7th Dec 1906.
Forwards a Copy of the above to the Municipal President for information.

G.P.B—50,000—23-10-03

l and Revenue
oretariat.

MB File No 592 of 190 5

Serial No 32

Draft submitted
Mahomed
29/11
Jones
23
30/11/05

8 33 Govt Prog No 484 dated the 8th December 1905.

Observes that on local enquiry it has been ascertained that the houses in question are some yards from the adjoining tank within the C & M Station limits and that there can therefore be no hardship in enforcing G.O. No. 77 Feb dated the 22 March 1905, and requests the President to expedite the submission of the information already called for in serial No. 4. Mil (142-52)

9 34 Letter No. 165 dated the 12th December 1905 from the President.

Submits for orders Copies of his letter to the Chief Engineer and his reply thereto in the matter of providing additional stand pipes in the City.

35 The Municipal Executive Engineer's suggestion is to provide additional fountains in different

Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.

localities according to the quantity of water required in each locality proportionate to the density of population. The Chief Engineer however considers that no general rule can be laid down in such cases and that each case should be dealt with on its own merits.

3/ In serial 227 a sketch has been called for from the Chief Engineer showing the positions of the new taps to be estimated for. That sketch may therefore be awaited.

Mahony 20/12

GS 21/12/05

10 37 Chief Engineer's memo No. 8697 dated the 26th December 1905 submitting, with reference to Sec. 7, the report of the Ex. Engineer, Water Supply and Stores Division, that the matter will be discussed as soon as the Municipal President settles the question of rate & that he is to communicate with that Officer as regards the rate.

G.P.B.—50,000—93-10-05

R S 12J
General and Revenue Secretariat.

ML File No 592 of 1905

Serial No	
	38. Further report from the Chief Engineer may be awaited, issue reminders in the meantime if necessary - Ys 23 3/1/07
	ML 5/2 31/12
11	39 Letter No 178 dated the 21st February 1907 from the Chief Engineer forwards copy of Water Supply and Sewerage Division Executive Engineer's No 78 dated the 5th Feb. '07 on the subject together with its enclosures and states that if the work is sanctioned to be carried out from Imprl. Funds, the estimate amount plus 18 per cent on account of supervision charges may be ordered to be placed at the disposal of the P.W. department, and that the water tax on the bungalows referred to amounts to Rs 123/- per annum
vide 8 of the	40 Orders have already issued about the recovery of the arrears of the water rate from the parties concerned. The question now for decision is about additional fountains in the locality

Note—Contn sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

Serial No

President has suggested the erection of a stand pipe near the Langford Road at the Cd M Station junction to which the Station Numb: President has no objection The cost is estimated at Rs 900/- This amount will have to be provided for in the next year's Municipal budget in case it should be found impracticable to meet it by re-appropriation of the current year's grants.

Draft docket enquiring about the funds and calling for the return of the sketch showing the distance of the several fountains in the locality is submitted.

4. Done

26/2/07

BB
1/3/07

12 42 docketed No 8085 dated the 12th March 1907 to the Numb. President.

State that the work of erecting a stand pipe near the Langford road noted has been estimated to cost Rs 900 and enquires whether the required sum can be found by a re-appropriation of the sanctioned gr.

GPB—40,000—12 5 06

MC File No 264 of 1905

Serial No

year's Municipal budget.

15 43 Ref. No 261 dated the 20th April 1907 from the President.

States, in reply, that the work in question is purely an original work and the Cost has therefore to be met from the P.W. Budget

44 Submitted for orders the Chief Engineer has already reported that the P.W. Budget does not provide for such extensions. Please see para 40 thereof.

27/4 45 Now, which is the Budget that provides for additional fountains in the extensions? Please ascertain from the Ch. Engr's Office note

DB
29/4/07

46 Submitted.

The budget clerk in the P.W. Secty. says that provision is being made in the P.W. budget for additional mains and fountains in the City. There is a provision of Rs 5000/- for this in the current year's budget. A sum of Rs 6000/- has been made in the current year's budget. The Chief Engineer's statement that

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

Serial No.

that the P. Wks. budget does not provide for such extensions is, perhaps, on the ground that the fountains under reference have to be fixed in the C & M Station precincts.

4) There is evidently no necessity for putting up a fountain within the limits of the Civil & Military Station. Please see copy of the D.O. from the President Municipal Commissioner C & M Station to the President Municipal Council City, in which the former says that the proposed stand pipe shows not touch their mains. The President may therefore be asked to select some other place within the city limits for the construction of the proposed fountains & when this is done, the Chief Engineer may be requested to meet the cost from the provision in the D.O. W. Budget.

Submitted for orders of the

1st Councillor

I think so too. 4/5/07

2791
6-5-190

C.P.B.—30,000—25-8-06

12]
l and Revenue
ecretariat.

File No. 592 of 1905

Serial No.

By Draft submitted
Mad
23/5
So done
23
27/5/07

46 51 Docket No 1365 dated the 1st June 07 to the President

States that there is evidently no necessity for putting up a fountain within the Municipal limits of the C & no station seems that the President of that Municipality has intimated that the proposed stand-pipe should not touch their main, and requests that some other suitable site within the City limits may be selected and reported to Govt for orders.

15 52 Letter No 57 dated the 31st May 07 from the President

States, in reply, that the consent of the owners of the 2 bungalows referred to have been secured to run the main through their bungalows and to erect a fountain at the places marked F on the sketch inasmuch as they have expressed their willingness to take house connections and requests early orders being issued to the Water Supply Deptt

2—Contn sheet.

S 12]
eral and Revenue Secretariat

Ml File No. 592 of 1905

Serial No.

for taking the Main as far as Pointd F

53. The present proposal may not very probably Cost more than the original one. The President has already stated in Cl: 13 that the Charge is one properly debitable to P.W. Funds may the Chief Engineer be requested to carry out the work out of the current year's P.W. Funds? Submitted for orders Minuting with draft

a proviso 7- for es, in budget.

6/9

9/9/07

Forwarded (unofficially) to the Chief Engineer for favor of opinion.

By order
W Lennaigen

9/9/07 For Secy.

1449 Revd 11/9/07

Returned with the criticisms which an Estimate for Rs 1257 has been sanctioned for creating a footway Slope near the 3 bungalows on the Lal Bagh Road on the Spot indicated by the Municipal President in his letter No 57, dated 31st August last

W 4/5.11.07

7: Mr. Lennaigen

Chief Engineer of Madras

8/12/07

NOTE—Contn. about

GPB—30,000—25-8-07

1742

(9)

S 12]
ɔral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 592 of 190 8

Serial No.

Draft Order informing the Addst of the Contents of the Chief Engineer's D. O. note & submitting to the Mudg 1st Councillor.

9/12

17/12/07

5186 18.12.1907 16 Draft approved

Done

23/12/07

16 To the President Bangalore city Municipal Council, & the Chief Engineer No. 6438-9 dt 8 1.08

Informs the President that the Chief Engineer has since Sanctioned an estimate Amounting to Rs 1257/- for erecting a fountain near the Jail Bungalow referred to on the Spot indicated by him in his letter No. 57 dt 31.7.07

5172—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—28-2-07

ml 59205

82-1

D 9549

BM 108]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION

City Bangalore, 5/6 January 1906

No. 466
Encl' one

FROM

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of [illegible]
[illegible] Bangalore,

SIR,

I have the honor to [illegible] that [illegible] five [illegible] decline to [illegible] ate- [illegible] not proceed [illegible] this locality, subject [illegible] with the [illegible] no be stated that [illegible] Proceedings [illegible] no [illegible] more [illegible] desirable I solicit [illegible] to accord [illegible] Police [illegible] of [illegible]

I [illegible]

[illegible]

A Nagarkar

[illegible] President

Extract from Mr. Dickson's letter dated 18-12-1905 to the President of the Bangalore City Municipality.

x x x

3. The claim for water-tax is not admitted for the same reason hitherto obtaining i.e. because no water supply has yet been provided.

Letter No. 28 dated 6-2-1906 from Mr W.St. Clair Johnson to the President of the Bangalore City Munpty..

Your No. 1911 dated 3-2-1906.

I am obliged for yours above but fear your contention is quite illegal, as no law under the face of the earth can enforce payment without complying with certain conditions. By your argument, you would wish me to assume, the mere sanction of the water project, made landlords liable to water-rate I wonder what the result would have been if such had been tried. There is no question about the quotations you have made, but they were enacted for a specific performance and in the C & M Station, the ruling is the water must be brought within 200 yards I think of one's property and regret I cannot see my way to meet the claim.

Letter dated 5-5-1906 from Mr. Sam.I.Wilson to the President of the Bangalore City Municipality.

Your No. 2774 dated 30-4-06 to hand. I regret to say I cannot pay the arrears of water-tax that you say is due by me, as I usually don't pay for things I haven't received and I don't think any court of law could enforce payment. As soon as given me, I shall be glad to pay

GORDON

Asst. Magistrate

1905 86

From

Secy to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev Depts

To

The President
Munl: Commission
Bangalore City

Dated

Despatched

Received 9th July 1905

General No. 2246

Mncl No (532-05)-2

ENCLOSURE No

His letter no 266 dated the 5th June 1905 in regard to the recovery of the arrears of water-rate due from the owners of the five bungalows situated on the South Lalbagh Road

---

Referring to the above has the honour to request the President to be so good as to state whether the requirements of sections 197 & 198 of the Municipal Regulation as amended by

Ml 592-05
82 3

B.M. 108]

D 712

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION

No. 18

City Bangalore, 19th January 1906

From

THE PRESIDENT,
Municipal Commission,
Bangalore City.

To.

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 224/Ml.(592-05)--2 dated the 9th. Instant in re recovery of water rate from the owners of the five bungalows situated on the Fort & Lal-Bagh Roads; I have the honor to state that there is no tap within the City Municipal limits within easy reach of these five bungalows. There is a tap within the Civil and Military Station limits on the Richmond Road and this is at about a furlong's distance from the farthest bungalows on both the Fort and Lal-Bagh Roads. It is therefore doubtful whether these bungalows can be rightly taxed with --- water-rate under Section 199 of the Municipal Regulations.

? ?. Recently, a Notification was published in the Gazette as required by Section 196, when the question of the legality or otherwise of levying water-rate in the New Extensions was considered on the representation of some of the residents of those extensions The Advocate Commissioners of the Board were of opinion that such a Notification was unnecessary, and that, even if one was published as regards the City proper, it was superfluous, as the

water

Item No 11

128]

File No 592-05
Serial No.

Ry No of letter replied to 712

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Mb:)

the 20th August 1906

of issue 6-9-06

ned by Hon'ble M.R.

READ —

Letter No. 466 dated the 5th June 1906 from the President of the Municipal Commissioner Bangalore City, reporting that the owners of the five bungalows situated on the 2nd and 3rd Cross Road decline to pay the water-rate on the ground that they are not provided with water, and that the question of providing water in this locality has been the subject of correspondence with the Water-

ORDER PASSED — No.

over

Suitably

SUBJECT

Department for some time
back and that the mains
will be subletting are long,
and soliciting instructions
if steps may be taken to recover
the water-rate by means of
Civil suits.

1) Letter No 18 dated the
9th July 1906 from the
same office furnishing
additional information
in the matter

Order thereon 2070-1 dt 6-9-06
Vol 592-05 - 4

Section 197 of the
Municipal Regulations says
that "Stand pipes shall be
placed at such intervals as
may be prescribed by the
Govt for particular localities.
It is desirable that this
should be done at a very
early date, and with this
view the Municipal Board

27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation Sheet Draft form*)

and the Chief Engineer are requested to furnish their opinions in the matter with the least avoidable delay

2 In the meanwhile the President is requested to furnish a definite report as to the distance of the nearest stand pipe from each of the houses in question and whether that stand pipe is within City or Station limits

S 30/8/11

For Secy

S 30/8/11

To

The President, Muni. Cmte
Bangalore City
& Chief Engineer

Recd
4/9/11
Cmte
Asst Secy

56

B M 109] at

Lut 592-'08

M. 20-5

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City, Bangalore, 20th September 1906

No 77

Encl. 1 sketch

From

THE PRESIDENT,

D 2811

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of Government Proceedings No 2070-1/Municipal (592-05)-4 dated the 6th. Instant in re levy of water-tax on the five bungalows below the Sampige Tank on the Fort and Lal-bagh Roads, I have the honor to submit herewith a sketch showing the position of the nearest stand-pipe to the said bungalows in that locality and the distance between that stand-pipe-which is within the Civil and Military Station limits—and each of those five bungalows.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H H Tyabji

PRESIDENT.

20/9

Md 592-05
Serial 6

No. 7580 24882

Mysore Public Works Department,
Central Office,
Dated Bangalore 12th November 1906.

From,

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

The Executive Engineer,
Water Supply and Stores Division.

Executive Engineer's No.511 of the 2nd instant, reporting that the modified estimate for providing water to the five bungalows in the Fort and Lal Bagh roads amounts to Rs. 1,226 and that the water rate collected from these bungalows will be 10 Per cent on the outlay &c.

With reference to the above, has the honor to request the Executive Engineer will be so good as to submit an estimate for supplying water to these bungalows for formal sanction and to state that work must not be put in hand until funds are placed at his disposal. If money cannot be found by -re-appro--priation this year, the work must lie over till next official year.

(sd) Bernard Dawes,
Captain R E.
Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore.

No. 7551 Dated Bangalore 12-11-1906

Copy of above forwarded to F S.Chandrasekara Iyer Esquire, B.A ,B.L., Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharajah of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments, for in--formation, with reference to Government proceedings No.2070-1 M1 (592-05)-4 dated 6th September last.

Captain R.E.
Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore.

ab

Secy

149

LS 26]

File No. 592-
Serial No. 95

ary No of letter replied to 4382

No. 4749

eneral and Revenue Secretariat
(Mb.)
the 29th Nov 1906

To W. Mc Hutchin Esq. M.I.C.E
Chief Engineer of Mysore

Date of issue 7.12.06

Signed by

Sir

Referring to your Memo No. 7551 dated the 12th Nov. 1906 in the matter of providing water to the Civil Bungalows in the South and Lal-bagh road as Contemplated in G.O. No. 2020-1 dated the 5th September 1906 (592-95) I am directed to request you to be so good as to [illegible] a sketch showing the precise position proposed for the taps to be established for [illegible] and to suggest

SUBJECT

that if the provisions have not already been settled, the same may be done in consultation with the Municipal President

Added subject to approval
JJ

have be
JJ 3/12/06
for Secy.
JJ 3/12/06

Memo. 2750
Copy forwarded to the Presidents of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, for information.
By order,
JJ 3/12/06
for Secy
JJ 3/12/06

List of enclosures.

Copied by
Examined by

28]

145

File No 592-05
Serial No

y No of letter replied to 2244

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Pub.)

, the 29th Nov. 1906

of issue 8.12.06

ed by Asst 62

READ — Letter No. 466 dated the 5th June 1906 from the President of the Municipal Commission, Bangalore City, requesting that the owners of the five Bungalows situated on the North and Cal. bagh Roads decline to pay the water-rate on the grounds that they are not provided with water and soliciting instructions if steps may be taken to recover the water rate by means of

over

SUBJECT

MGCPB—20,000—24-2-05

Civil suits

2) Correspondence ending with letter No 277 dated the 20th September 1906 from the same officer.

Order thereon 4811/MC-592-05 8/12/06

On local enquiry it has been ascertained that the houses in question are using water from the adjoining tank situated in Cadam Station limits, Gyanda Gowda that there can be no hardship in enforcing G.O. No. 7746/MC(142-04)-3 dated the 20 March 1905.

2. The President will expedite the submission of the information called for in Para 1 of G.P No. 2020-1/(592-03)-6 dated the 6th September 1906.

By order,

Secretary.

The Presidents, Municipal Councils, Bangalore City, Bangalore Cantonment, the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Branch, Public Works Department, the Chief Engineer, Public Health Department, the Director of Public Instruction, the Director of Medical and Sanitary Services, the Director of Agriculture, the Director of Veterinary Services, the Director of Industries and Commerce, the Director of Forests, the Director of Stationery and Printing, the Director of Public Relations, the Director of Information, the Director of Tourism, the Director of Archaeology, the Director of Museums, the Director of Archives, the Director of Libraries, the Director of Statistics, the Director of Economics, the Director of Planning, the Director of Development, the Director of Finance, the Director of Audit, the Director of Accounts, the Director of Treasuries, the Director of Pensions, the Director of Provident Fund, the Director of Insurance, the Director of Co-operation, the Director of Labour, the Director of Employment, the Director of Training, the Director of Vocational Education, the Director of Technical Education, the Director of Industrial Training, the Director of Apprenticeship, the Director of Industrial Safety, the Director of Industrial Relations, the Director of Industrial Welfare, the Director of Industrial Hygiene, the Director of Industrial Medicine, the Director of Industrial Psychology, the Director of Industrial Sociology, the Director of Industrial Economics, the Director of Industrial Statistics, the Director of Industrial Planning, the Director of Industrial Development, the Director of Industrial Finance, the Director of Industrial Audit, the Director of Industrial Accounts, the Director of Industrial Treasuries, the Director of Industrial Pensions, the Director of Industrial Provident Fund, the Director of Industrial Insurance, the Director of Industrial Co-operation, the Director of Industrial Labour, the Director of Industrial Employment, the Director of Industrial Training, the Director of Industrial Vocational Education, the Director of Industrial Technical Education, the Director of Industrial

M 592-05 D 5449

BM 109]

Serial C

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

City, Bangalore, 12/15 December 1906

No 165

Encl One

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Commission,

Bangalore City

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to para 2 of Government Proceedings No 4811/Municipal 592-05-8 dated the 8th Instant regarding the supply of public fountains at convenient distances in the City, I have the honour to submit herewith, for the information and orders of Government, copies of this office letter No 1066 to the Chief Engineer and his reply thereto No 2003 dated the 10th and 12th instant respectively, in the matter.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

BV

for President, Municipal Commission, Bangalore

Letter No 1066 dated 10th November 1906 from the President of the Bangalore City Municipal Commission, to the Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to para 1 of Govt Pros No 2070-1/1 592-05-4 dated the 6th September last in the matter of providing public stand-pipes at convenient distances in the City, I have the honour to forward herewith, for favor of your opinion, a copy of the Municipal Executive Engineer's letter No 342 dated the 4th Ultimo in the matter

I have etc,

Signed H Sparkes, President

(Enclosure to the above)

Sir,

Adverting to your Memo No 113 dated 10-9-06 forwarding Govt Pros No 2070-1/1 592-05-4 dated 6-9-06 enquiring the distance at which the stand-pipes should be placed etc, and calling for my opinion, I have the honor to state that stand-pipes in majority of cases are placed at prescribed intervals in the City and I think it is not possible to adopt the general provision of placing the hydrants 600 feet intervals as laid in the municipal regulations, section 10, as will be it for general guidance and may be adopted in all cases, since distance is not an important factor, but the quantity of water that has to be made available at certain hours of the day according to the demand in each locality and the houses are situated In thickly crowded places such as the II, III, IV and divis the rule of providing fountains at 600 feet intervals will prove a failure

2 In absence of statistics with me as to the quantity of consumption of water etc, I regret I am not in position to say anything definitely and it is only the tate at that can arrive at any conclusion as to the no of stand-pipes to be allo -

allowed for each locality Granting for the sake of calculations, the fountains are fixed at 300 feet in--tervals, there are 52 miles of (metalled) high roads alone in the City and 29 of the same in both the Extensions excluding the streets and alleys which come to about 37 miles The No of stand-pipes required by placing them at 300 ft intervals would be 915 on the high roads of the City, 511 in the Extensions and 649 in the streets and alleys, the total coming to 2075 fountains which No is enormous, considering the No 444 now existing in the City and Extensions included Even then the City proper will not have suf--ficient o of stand-pipes to meet the requirements, whereas the other divisions where the houses are far apart and in many cases isolated will have undesirable o of fountains I dwell upon this distance-datum only to prove that it is not an important factor in deciding the o of fountains required or to be provided in each locality

3 The only thing that suggests to me is to calculate the o of fountains to be provided according to the quantity of water required in each locality proportionate to the density of population But as the capacity of each fountain is not the same for it varies according to the head of each, one in low level issuing the quantity to fill a pot in 30 or 40 seconds and another in high level taking 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ minutes to issue a no ful, no hard and fast rule can be laid even in this way of calculating and discretion has to be used in each case Wherefore, there must be some safe rule laid down for the guidance of all concerned and if the following data meet with your approbation, I think it may be adopted universally except in few stray cases

4. In Memo dated 15-7-1903, the Public Works cre-

ta to

whilst the calculated allowance of water per head was 2 c.ft. or say 12 gallons per head, the consumption has steadily risen to 3 c.ft or 18 gallons Considering the requirements of the people of the City I think 15 gallons per head including wastage are more than ample, say 10 gallons in the Morning and 5 in the Evening and any consumption beyond it must be considered as mere wastage which must be checked. The population of the City including Extensions is 69,447 and the No. of houses 12,582. This gives an average of 6 heads per house. Assuming that it takes one minute for a person to fill a pot say 3 gallons and clear, the time occupied by each house for drawing the 60 gallons required by it in the morning is 20 minutes. So during the 6 hours of the morning only 18 houses can draw water from a standpipe or say 20 houses for practical purpose At this rate, the 12,582 houses will require 629 fountains which is reasonable. There are already 444 fountains and 185 more have to be provided for, eventually as funds permit, if it cannot be made available in one year. According to this rule, the existing fountains in No. I Division and in Malleswaram, Basavangudi and Chamarajpete Extensions will be superfluous comparatively to the no. of houses there, but they may be left as they are and say 200 fountains more provided for, especially in the City proper i.e.in II, III, IV and V divisions calculating at one per 20 houses, excluding shops. This measure will I have every reason to believe, give satisfaction to the public. The rule of providing stand-pipes at 300 ft intervals will hold good so far as the- other divisions and extensions are concerned and it may even be relaxed to 600 ft. till more houses rise wherever houses are far apart.

I have etc

Sd/ P.C Chengalvarai Naidu,
unl Ex. Engineer.

P.T O

Letter No. 8005 dated 28-11-06 from W. Mc. Hutchin, Esquire., M.I.C.E., Chief Engineer of Mysore, to the President of the Muncl. Commn , Bangalore City.

[redacted]

S i r,

With reference to your letter No. 1066 dated the 10th Instant, forwarding for my opinion, copy of the Muncl. Executive Engineer's No. 342 of the 4th Ultimo on the subject of providing public stand-pipes at convenient distances in the City &c., I have the honor to inform you that this, in my opinion, is a question in which no possible additional rule can be laid down of any benefit. The rule we are at present guided by is that stand-pipes are <u>not to</u> be placed <u>nearer</u> together than at 300 feet intervals. There is no rule to restrict their being placed <u>further</u> apart in localities where there are few houses etc. If you think that there are any portions of the City where the density of the population requires more stand-posts, a report may be submitted pointing out the necessity, and such cases can be specially dealt with on their merits, but even then 2 stand-posts placed close to each other would be better in most cases than erecting another half-way between 2 posts already in existence.

2. The proposal of the Municipal Executive Engineer is, in my opinion, of little use. No general rule can lie, or ever is laid down in such cases, every case should, as I said before, be dealt with on its own merits.

I have etc.,
(Signed) W. McHutchin,
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

"COPIES"

for President.

Mysore Public Works Department,

Central Office,

No. 1318/4-5

Dated Bangalore, 21st February 1907

From,

W Mc Hutchin Esquire, M I C E,

Chief Engineer of Mysore

To,

K S Chandrasekara Iyer Esquire, B A, B L,

Secretary to the Government of

H H the Maharajah of Mysore,

General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 4719/Misl 802-05-7 of 7th December last calling for a sketch showing the precise point on proposed for the 5 taps to be erected for providing water to certain bungalows in the Fort and Lal Bagh road &c, I have the honor to forward the enclosed copy of Water Supply and Stores Division Executive Engineer's No 78 dated the 5th instant, on the subject, together with its enclosures and to inform you that if the work is sanctioned to be carried out from Municipal Funds, the estimate amount plus 18 per cent on account of supervision charges may be ordered to be placed at the disposal of this Department The P Budget does not provide for such extensions

2 The water tax on these bungalows amounts to Rs 1/3 per annum

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Captain I E

Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore

ab
234

G R S 29A]

1906-07

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept

To The President of
the Bangalore City
Munl. Council

Dated

Despatched 12-3-07

Received

General No 8085

No. mle 592-05-12

Enclosure No

In forwarding herewith a copy of the Chief Engineer's letter No 1310 dated the 21st Feb. 1907 in the matter of providing the water to the 5 bungalows on the Lalbagh Road, I have the honour to state that the work of erecting a chain fence near the Langford road asked in the Council Resolution para 6 of

as suggested by him has been estimated to Rs 40/-. Request, therefore, that information may be furnished as to whether the required amount can be found by a readjustment of the current year's and desired grants.

Request also that the sketch returned to him with G.O. No 4811 dated the 8th Jul(592-05)-8 does not may be resubmitted early.

26/4/07

D 8941

BM 109]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSION,

No 261 Encl Fee Sketch

City, Bangalore, 20 April 1907

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal Council,

Bangalore City

M 592-05
8C 13

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore

SIR,

With reference to your docket No 8085/Municipal (592-05)-12 dated the 12th. March last, requesting to be informed as to whether the sum of Rs 908/- required for erecting a stand-pipe near the Langford Road Ookad in the Civil and Military/Station municipal jurisdiction can be found by a re-appropriation of the current years sanctioned grants, I have the honor to state that the erection of the stand-pipe in question is purely an origiral work and as such, the cost thereof has to be met from the allotments in the Public Works Budget There are several such places in the City limits where stand-pipes have to be provided for and the same arguments may be advanced as regards the incidental charges of erecting them also, should a proposal on any future occasion for additional stand-pipes in localities where they may be urgently required be made

2 The Municipality has not up-to-date incurred any such expenditure and it is not in a position yet to meet the demands of the kind under reference for want of funds Besides, the current year's budget does not admit of re-appropriation

3. Under these circumstances, I request that you

will be so good as to obtain the early sanction of Government for the stand-pipe in question being erected from the funds of the Public Works Department.

4. The sketch called for is herewith re-submitted.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H H Lyashy

PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY.

B.V.

ab

G.R.S 29A]

1906-07

From

Secy to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl and Rev Dept'

To The Presidents of
the Bangalore Mun'l Council

Dated

Despatched 1-6-07

Received

General No 11365

No Mis 392-05-14

Enclosure No

Ex by Mr Chatham

His letter no 261
dated the 20th April 07
requesting sanction to
the erection over of the
R. W. S. Bridge granted
of a stand-pipe near
the Langford Road
by the C.I. in Chatham Council
jurisdiction of the

Reference to the
above has the honour
to

to observe that there
is evidently no necessity
for putting up a
fountain within the enclosure of the
Civil Station ~~precinct~~
limits, seeing that
the Station Municipal
President has stated
in his D. O. letter of
the 31st Dec 1908 that
the proposed stand pipe should
not touch this main.

Requests therefore
that some other suitable
site within the City
limits may be selected
for the purpose and
referred to Govt for
orders. The sketch is returned
herewith "Boy scouts"
for reconsideration

#3 27/5/09
Ex Secy
Mr 23/5/09

Mr 27.5.09 Mr 31/5

B M 109]

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL ~~COMMISSION~~ Council,

City, Bangalore, 31st August } 1907
2nd September

No 57
Encl One Plan

From

THE PRESIDENT,

Municipal ~~Commissioners~~ Council,
Bangalore City

S 1742
ML 592-05
8/15

To

The Secretary to the Government of H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your docket No 11365 dated ML 592-05-14 the 1st June last IN RF the selection of some suitable site, within the City limits, for the erection of a fountain near the five bungalows on the Lal-Bagh Road &c, I have the honor to state that the consent of the owners of the bungalows has been secured to run the main through their bungalows and to erect a fountain at the place marked F in the accompanying plan if necessary, inasmuch as they have expressed their willingness to take house-connections

Since the said owners have taken objection to the payment of Water-tax only to the absence of a public fountain near their residence, I request you to be so good as to issue early orders to enable

pti

Supply Department for taking the main near the point marked F in the plan, as early as practicable.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

PRESIDENT,
Municipal Council, Bangalore City

B V

L 0

[No. 28]

Subject

File No 592-ccc-07
Serial No 16 57/

Query No. of letter replied to 1762

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
(Mis.)

Read, the 9th December 1907

Date of issue 8.1.08

Signed by [illegible]

READ—Correspondence ending with letter 20-57 dated the 21st September 1907 from the President of the Bangalore City Municipal Council in the matter of the erection of

ORDER THEREON—No

a water supply fountain in front of the Lalbagh [illegible] Bangalore for providing water to certain bungalows on the Lalbagh Road.

Recd

over

SUBJECT

GPH—7,000—1 8-07

Order thereon 6436-9
Vide 57205

38/168

The President is informed that the Chief Engineer has since sanctioned an estimate amounting to Rs 1251/- for erecting a fountain near the 5 bungalows referred to on the spot indicated by the President in his letter read above.

23
17/12/07
For Secy
17.12.07

To,
The Secretary, Bangalore
City Municipal Council
Chief Engineer's memorandum
Encls: to his No 1308
21.2.07

Stamp
21 Dec 07

gh. 111

3. To the Pres^{dt} Bangalore City Municipal Council,
and the Chief Engineer. No 6438—90 S. I—
Informing the Pres^{dt} that the Ch: Engr has
sanctioned an estimate amounting to Rs 1257/-
for erecting a fountain near the Bungalow
referred to in the letter indicated by the Pres^{dt}.